| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| | 10/002,617 | BRYJA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Tim Heitbrink | 1722 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: <u>pending</u> |
| (1) <u>Tim Heitbrink</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Juan Carlos Marquez. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 20 February 2004 | Time: <u>1:00</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: |] Applicant's representative) No | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-15 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH Mr. Marquez agreed to the cancelation of non-elected of proper idiomatic english. Mr. Marquez agreed to send in | claims 1-4 and to the amendment to | T WAS DISCUSSED: claim 15 which puts said claim in |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | ation. The examiner will provide see above. eparate record of the substance | of the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) | (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | |